UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/718,555 | 11/24/2003 | Yasuyuki Ikeguchi | 042223 | 1265 |
| | 7590 05/26/200 , HATTORI, DANIEL | EXAMINER | | |
| 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | | | ALAM, MUSHFIKH I | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2426 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/26/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------------|--|--|
| 10/718,555 | IKEGUCHI, YASUYUKI | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| MUSHFIKH ALAM | 2426 | | |

| | MUSHFIKH ALAM | 2426 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejectio | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | 7). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | iled within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will <u>not</u> be entered be | cause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | v); | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying th | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: new limitations introduce new scope and re | equire further search and considera | <u>ation</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 116 and |
| 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | · | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | - | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attache | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /JOSEPH P. HIRL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426 | | | |
| | | | |